

REMARKS

Claims 1-5, 7-12, 14 and 16-35 are pending in this application. Claim 14 has been canceled without prejudice and claims 1, 23 and 25-29 have been amended by the present Amendment. Amended claims 1, 23 and 25-29 do not introduce any new subject matter.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1-4, 7-12, 14 and 16-35 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,526,335 ("Treyz") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,339,455 ("Allan").

Reconsideration is also respectfully requested of the rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Treyz in view of Allan and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,256,317 ("Holloway").

Claims 1, 25, 26 and 27 have been amended to respectively recite (1) that control signals to control operation of the portable PDA or the portable PC are wirelessly sent from the console to the portable PDA or the portable PC via the wireless transmitter; (2) control signals to control operation of the portable telephone are wirelessly sent from the console to the portable telephone via the wireless transmitter; (3) control signals to control operation of the portable PDA or the portable PC are wirelessly sent from the console to the portable PDA or the portable PC via the wireless transceiver; and (4) control signals to control operation of the portable PC are wirelessly sent from the console to the portable PC via the first wireless transmitter.

For example, Applicant's disclosure states that the console may wirelessly communicate with the local input devices for control purposes, and that all of the local

input devices 106, including cellular telephones, may be controlled wirelessly via the console. See, e.g., Applicant's disclosure, page 20, line 21 – page 21, line 9; and page 24, line 6 – page 25, line 10.

In contrast to the claimed embodiments, Treyz fails to disclose control signals to control operation of the local input devices that are wirelessly sent from the console to the local input devices via a wireless transmitter or transceiver located in the console. While Treyz generally states that information may be passed from automobile personal computer 14 to a handheld computing device over a wireless link, Treyz is silent regarding control signals being wirelessly sent from the automobile personal computer to the handheld computing devices to control operation of the handheld computing devices. See Treyz, col. 24, line 62 – col. 25, line 1.

For at least this reason, Applicant submits that claims 1, 25, 26 and 27, and the claims dependent thereon are patentable over the cited references.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejections of claims 1-5, 7-12, 14, and 16-35 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

DEPENDENT CLAIMS

Applicant has not independently addressed the rejections of all the dependent claims because Applicant submits that, in view of the amendments to the claims presented herein and, for at least similar reasons as why the independent claims from which the dependent claims depend are believed allowable as discussed, supra, the dependent claims are also allowable. Applicant however, reserves the right to address any individual rejections of the dependent claims should such be necessary or appropriate.

An early and favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner has any further questions or comments, the Examiner may telephone Applicant's Attorney to reach a prompt disposition of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael F. Morano", written over a horizontal line.

Michael F. Morano
Reg. No. 44,952
Attorney for Applicant

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC
130 Woodbury Road
Woodbury, NY 11797
(516) 692-8888